

Submission	Support/Oppose	Name	Address	Content	Relief Sought	Wish to be heard	Email	Notes
1	Oppose	Tracy Wilson	103 Kanakanaia Road, Te Karaka	Oppose the moving of the stopbank, due the volume of water to flow over our land and the effect to the value of their land, insurance. Requests clear advice on the compensation of the affected properties	Need to give clear advice on the compensation to the affected properties	Yes	tawilsonagr@gmail.com	
2	Support	Himiona Madden-Taingahue	10A Kipling Road, Te Karaka	Support the entire application	Support entire application	No	zediwe@gmail.com	
3	Support	Joleen Perry	10 Balfour Road, Te Karaka	I am a home owner in Te Karaka, my property was impacted by the floods in Feb 2023. I am a member of Mahaki Tiaki Tangata and support with all weather events in Te Karaka since the floods. It is for piece of mind that our community will be safer from future events which could impact our community and properties.	Support entire application and works to be completed to ensure flood protection of our property, town and community	No	joleen.perry32@gmail.com	
4	Support	Wirihana Wilkinson	10 Balfour Road, Te Karaka	To protect our property from future floods within our community	Support the works to be carried out	No	toriesantava315@gmail.com	
5	Support	Nicky-Marie Kohere-Smiler	8 Balfour Road, Te Karaka	Supports the proposed Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade as an important investment in improving community safety, flood protection and long-term resilience for Te Karaka. Notes that Cyclone Gabrielle highlighted the vulnerability of local communities, infrastructure and emergency management systems, while also demonstrating the capability and leadership within local communities when empowered to respond. Considers the stopbank upgrade a key component of building a more resilient future for the community. Emphasises that infrastructure alone cannot eliminate risk and that resilience also requires prepared communities, effective emergency management partnerships, and ongoing investment in community capability. Acknowledges and supports the collaborative approach taken during development of the proposal and encourages ongoing partnership between iwi, local government and emergency services.	• Mahaki Tiaki Tangata requests that the Gisborne District Council: • Grant the resource consent for the Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade. • Encourage continued partnership between Gisborne District Council, Taiāwhiri Civil Defence Emergency Management, Te Atianga-a-Māhaki and Mahaki Tiaki Tangata throughout implementation and future emergency planning. • Recognise that long-term community resilience is strengthened through both flood protection infrastructure and sustained investment in iwi and community emergency capability.	No	rmspeechlanguagetherapy@gmail.com	
6	Oppose	Jenny Moran	88 Rangatira Road, Te Karaka	The submitter opposes the proposed Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade on the basis that it is not a sustainable long-term solution to flooding and may create additional flood risk and adverse effects for upstream properties, infrastructure, and future generations. Key concerns raised include: Human health and safety: The stopbank is considered a medium-term rather than permanent solution to flood risk due to ongoing river aggradation. The submitter argues the proposal does not achieve the RMA purpose of sustainable management and may create a "levee effect", increased evacuation risks, isolation of residents, warning fatigue, and uncertainty regarding post-breach consequences. Effects on bridges and access: Concerns are raised about increased hydraulic loading, scour, and flood risk to the Rangitira Road and Kanakanaia Road bridges. The submitter considers the assessment of bridge failure risk inadequate and is concerned about potential impacts on access and existing transport infrastructure. Effects on the submitter's property: The submitter states that their property would become newly affected by flooding in over-design flood events, with increased flood hazard levels and potential impacts on buildings, infrastructure, access, development potential, insurance costs, and farm operations. They consider the consequential flood risk assessment incomplete and seek further assessment of upstream effects and aggradation. Compensation and fairness: Concerns are raised that compensation and engagement provisions focus on directly affected properties and do not adequately address properties experiencing increased flood risk. The submitter seeks compensation and reimbursement of legal costs if adverse effects on their property occur. Information gaps and further assessment: The submitter requests additional information under section 92, including: assessment of future aggradation effects; detailed bridge failure and replacement cost analysis; evaluation of potential loss of life and levee effects; investigation of alternative flood management options; insurance and adaptation framework implications; ecological assessments (including bats, fish, bird species, and vegetation); effects on productive soils; and clarification of the non-complying flood control flap component and its implications for the overall activity status. Community engagement and consultation: The submitter considers consultation and notification processes inadequate, stating that affected residents were not fully informed or represented during earlier stages of project development and option assessment.	The application be declined unless flood risks, bridge risks, and adverse effects on their property can be avoided. A sustainable long-term flooding solution be pursued. Additional investigations and information be provided to affected parties prior to decision-making. Compensation and mitigation measures be extended to properties adversely affected by increased flood risk. Independent commissioners be appointed to hear and determine the application. Equivalent flood protection be provided to the submitter's property, or alternatively the application be declined	Yes	jennytrafford@xtra.co.nz	Earlier submission was withdrawn, and was replaced
7	Oppose	Ross Muir on behalf of Murray & Wendy Burgess Trustees of the M&W Burgess Family Trust	2418 Matawai Road, Te Karaka	Te Tiriti o Waitangi and intergenerational sustainability: The submitter submits that the proposal is inconsistent with the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi, kaitiakianga, and rangatiratanga. The revised eastern stopbank alignment now encroaches onto the Burgess property, including part of the main driveway and orchard land, increasing direct impacts on their commercial citrus orchard. The proposal is expected to increase flood depth, velocity and hazard on their property outside the protected area. The submitters argue this could significantly worsen flooding effects compared with the existing situation, including for events similar to Cyclone Gabrielle. They contend the assessment of consequential flood effects is incomplete because it focuses largely on dwellings rather than wider impacts on productive horticultural land and business operations. The submitters are concerned that reliance on Public Works Act compensation is being used in place of adequately assessing and mitigating adverse effects under the Resource Management Act. They oppose the extensive use of future management plans to resolve important details after consent is granted, arguing this limits submitter input and leaves key effects insufficiently assessed. They also question the proposed separation of the non-complying floodgate consent from the wider proposal, arguing all related consents should be bundled and assessed together	Amend the stopbank design and alignment to reduce direct and consequential flood impacts on their property. Fully assess and appropriately avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse environmental effects on their orchard operation. Strengthen consent conditions, particularly regarding construction impacts, access, dust, drainage, shelterbelts and orchard infrastructure. Bundle all relevant resource consents and assess the proposal under the most stringent activity status. Appointment of independent hearing commissioners and the opportunity to be heard	Yes	muzzv8@gmail.com	
8	Support	Mere Pikia	12 Kipling Road, Te Karaka	Support the application	Support the application	n/a	mercpi8@gmail.com	
9	Support	David Pikia	12 Kipling Road, Te Karaka	Total support for the Te Karaka Stopbank Project	Support the application	n/a	david.pikia@outlook.com	
10	Support	Jayne-Ann Te Kani Pikia	10A Kipling Road, Te Karaka	Support the application of the Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade	Support the application	n/a	tekan.pikia@gmail.com	
11	Support	Whitlaur Ropitini	10A Kipling Road, Te Karaka	Support the application for Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade	Support the application	n/a	nil	
12	Support	Ritley Hine TeAriki Rangihuna McHugh	12 Kipling Road, Te Karaka	Support the Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade	Support the application	n/a	nil	
13	Support	Jesse Pikia	12 Kipling Road, Te Karaka	Support Upgrade of Stopbank	Support the application	n/a	nil	
14	Support	Roslie Rauhiina Wikotu	10 Station Road, Te Karaka	Support Stopbank Upgrade	Support the application	n/a	rosliewikotu@gmail.com	
15	Support	Davia Peta	886 Whataututu Road, Te Karaka	Support Stopbank Upgrade	Support the application	n/a	daviaspeta75@gmail.com	
16	Support	Kathleen Peta	10 Station Road, Te Karaka	Support Stopbank Upgrade	Support the application	n/a	kathleenpeta6@gmail.com	
17	Support	Peter Peta	10 Station Road, Te Karaka	Support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade	Support the application	n/a	nil	
18	Support	Ian Peta	10 Station Road, Te Karaka	Support Stopbank Upgrade	Support the application	n/a	nil	
19	Support	Chance Moa	10 Station Road, Te Karaka	Support Stopbank Upgrade	Support the application	n/a	nil	
20	Support	Gaive Henry Adrian Williams	13 Currie Street, Te Karaka	Support the Te Karaka Upgrade	Support the application	n/a	gaiveadrian@outlook.com	
21	Support	Koryn Kahala	10 Station Road, Te Karaka	Support Stopbank Upgrade	Support the application	n/a	korynkahala@gmail.com	
22	Neutral (although sounds like doesn't support)	Stephen & Valerie Newman	544 Matawai Road, RD 1, Gisborne 4071	One of the areas there was under explored was keeping the existing flood bank and raising it up to an appropriate level. The drainage in this area of existing orchards and probable land needs to be improved and whether having a flood bank in the area it quite often makes drainage difficult. With the flood bank running diagonally across our Orchard, it will have a major effect on our business in this area the shape of the block will be unusable on a commercial Orchard situation because of rows all different lengths and unusual sizes on headlands. There will be a large financial loss here. This will have a Big financial loss on our downstream businesses that we that we are associated with a packhouse not receiving fruit and therefore lack of income for their business. Also, The increase traffic around our block and houses will affect our general day-to-day running of an orchard. One of the houses will have a very large bank flood bank beside it affecting the view and the quality of this property 54 Kipling Road Te Karaka.	Nil stated	Yes	daveynreed@gmail.com	
23	Support	Dave Pikia obo Rangatira Marae	66 Rangatira Road Te Karaka	Rangatira Marae in full support of the Te Karaka Stopbank mahi		No	dave.pikia@outlook.com	
24	Support	Brian Desmond Deam	18 Kipling Road, Te Karaka	I support the stopbanks to be done		No	bdbvback@gmail.com	
25	Support	Kirsten Kennedy	29 Grant Road, Whatautoko	I support the Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	nil	
26	Support	Aubrey Stainton	13 Clarence St, Te Hapara	I support the Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	not provided	
27	Support	Tanya Owen	153 Harris St, Inner Kaiti	I support the Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	tanyaowen4@gmail.com	
28	Support	Kylie Ngarangone Smith	75 Chalmers Road, Te Hapara	I support the Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	not provided	
29	Support	Brooklyn Owen	153 Harris St, Inner Kaiti	I support the Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	not provided	
30	Support	Wade Owen	153 Harris St, Inner Kaiti	I support the Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	wadeoftristig@tirozen.co.nz	
31	Support	Darius Witi Leach	26 Craig Road, Outer Kaiti	I support TK Stopbanks		No	not provided	
32	Support	Rioss Rongomai Rangihuna McHugh	508 Childers Road, Te Hapara	I support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	rioss.mchugh@gmail.com	
33	Support	Ariama Houkamau	31 Ida Road Outer Kaiti	I support the Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	arie.houkamau@gmail.com	
34	Support	Sharon Rye	16 Stanford Cres, Whatautoko	I support the Stopbank Upgrade		No	shar.rye17@gmail.com	
35	Support	Rutuhia Rewanga Waikari-Maurihere	1 Hetata St Whataututu	I support the Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	rutuhiauriver2005@gmail.com	
36	Support	Monique Lima	2 Riperata St, Riverdale	I support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	mon.lima5@gmail.com	
37	Support	Levi Isiah Cameron	1 Hetata St Whataututu	I support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	levycameron678@gmail.com	
38	Support	Kylie Houkamau	31 Ida Road Outer Kaiti	I support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	kylie.houkamau@gmail.com	
39	Support	Tania Houkamau	31 Ida Road Outer Kaiti	I support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	houkamau.tania@gmail.com	
40	Support	Tairikahi Cooke	39 Somerton Rd, Makauri	I support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	tairikahicooke@gmail.com	
41	Support	Seylah Stevens-Te Mano	39 Somerton Rd, Makauri	I support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	seylahstevens0@gmail.com	
42	Support	Emaraina Gabrielle Ratima	8 School Road (Te Hapara?)	I fully support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	eratima@hotmail.com	
43	Support	Russell Wilson	562E Lavenham Rd, Waituhi	I support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	hustahurst@gmail.com	
44	Support	Rhylee Hare	27 Cliff Road, Te Karaka	I support the upgrade		No	rhydehare@gmail.com	
45	Support	Keagan Kingi	27 Cliff Road, Te Karaka	I support the upgrade		No	not provided	

46	Support	Renee Raroa	11 Russell St, Whataupoko	I support the Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	rraroa@gmail.com
47	Support	Emma Lillian Te Kani	562E Lavenham Rd, Waituhi	I support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade		No	emma200519@gmail.com
48	Support	Dianne Madden-Deam	18 Kipling Road, Te Karaka	I support the stopbanks to be done		No	diannemadden@redmail.net.au
49	Support	Himiona Taro Tangihua	10a Kipling Rd, Te Karaka	I support the Te Karaka Stopbank		No	hionatara@xtra.co.nz
50	Support	Ngarangi Irwin-Ahlers	10a Kipling Road, Te Karaka	I support the Te Karaka Stopbank		No	ngarawhina3@gmail.com
51	Support	Whitlaura Ropitini on behalf of Rangitira Marae Trust	66 Rangitira Road Te Karaka	This submission is in support of the work involved in upgrading the stopbanks of Te Karaka. This work will benefit the wellbeing and protection of our community.	This submission is on behalf of Rangitira Marae Trust, which is the trustee of Rangitira 31A1 Maori Reservation. This submission is also seeking stop bank support for our current property at 66 Rangitira Road, the old pa site of Rangitira Marae. We are seeking support to be included in the current works of improving our current stop bank around the current site of Rangitira 31A1 Maori Reservation at 66 Rangitira Road. Could this be considered by the council.	No	whitlaura.ropitini@gmail.com
52	Support	Robert Jahnlke	24 Library Road Te Karaka	I support all upgrades	Nil stated	No	andyshandy@xtra.co.nz
53	Support	Andrea Jahnlke	24 Library Road Te Karaka	I support all upgrades	Nil stated	No	andyshandy@xtra.co.nz
54	Support	NZTA	State Highway 2	The raised stopbank will provide some resilience to the state highway network and help keep State Highway 2 open. The proposed works include the reinstatement of the road pavement on a like-for-like basis. The raising of State Highway 2 reduces the length of state highway rehabilitation that NZTA had planned to do this construction season. A safety audit was completed for the project for Gisborne District Council and the recommended mitigations for the concerns raised in the audit have been well received by Gisborne District Council and the NZTA Senior Safety Engineer has no outstanding concerns, provided the mitigations highlighted are included in the final design. Resource consent conditions have been volunteered regarding the Engineering Design of the proposed culvert and associated fish passage ladder.	Nil provided	No	mitch.hubbards@nzta.govt.nz
55	Support	Libby Te Rama	382D Lavenham Road, Waituhi	I support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade	Nil	No	libbyterama@hotmail.com
56	Support	Raewyn Hitaua	8 Balfour Road, Te Karaka	I support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade	Nil	Not stated	not provided
57	Support	Pene Brown	6 Currie Street, Te Karaka	Stopbank	Nil	Not stated	not provided
58	Support	Claude Ruru	2383A Matawai Road	Nil	Nil	No	claururur@gmail.com
59	Support	Rawhina Douglas	43A Main Road Te Karaka	Having a stopbank around TK	Maybe extend stopbank around to Smiths Corner at Hakanui Strait.	No	rawhina.douglas@gmail.com
60	Support	Pene Clarke	5 Currie Street, Te Karaka	Nil	Nil	Not stated	peneclarke54@gmail.com
61	Support	Roberta McKenzie	28 Paulson Road, Te Karaka	I support the Stopbank Upgrade	Nil	Not stated	robertamc@gmail.com
62	Support	Susan Clayden	43 Kanakanaia Road, Te Karaka	I support Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade	Nil	No	rcclayden@xtra.co.nz
63	Support	Ian Cluinden	43 Kanakanaia Road, Te Karaka	I support the Stopbank Upgrade	Nil	No	rcclayden@xtra.co.nz
64	Oppose	Daniel Moran	88 Rangitira Road, Te Karaka	The submitter opposes the proposed Gisborne District Council – Community Lifelines Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade primarily due to concerns about increased flood risk to their property, impacts on critical bridge infrastructure, compensation provisions, and the adequacy of technical assessments and consultation undertaken.	The stopbank design be amended to avoid any increase in flood risk to their property, otherwise the application should be declined. The application be declined unless the risk of damage or loss to the Rangitira Bridge and Kanakanaia Bridge can be eliminated. Affected Rangitira Road property owners be able to seek compensation, including consideration of property value losses arising from increased flood risk. Council provide funding and planning for bridge protection or upgrades alongside any stopbank improvements. Independent commissioners be appointed to hear and determine the application, with jurisdiction broad enough to address compensation and mitigation issues affecting indirectly impacted properties. Equivalent flood protection be provided to the submitter's property as proposed for Te Karaka village.	Yes	daniel.moran@xtra.co.nz
Key concerns raised include: Increased flood risk to property: The submitter states the proposal would increase flood hazard and natural hazard zoning affecting their property, which they consider was previously regarded as relatively safe from flooding. They are concerned the property would become newly flooded under both design and over-design flood events and that associated development and insurance costs would increase. Bridge and lifeline infrastructure risks: Significant concern is raised regarding increased hydraulic loading, scour, and flood risk to the Rangitira Bridge and Kanakanaia Bridge. The submitter considers there is a real possibility of bridge damage or loss, resulting in loss of access and impacts on rural residents. They consider the effects on bridge infrastructure have not been adequately assessed and oppose risking existing bridge assets through the stopbank design. Flood modelling and technical assessment: The submitter questions aspects of the hydraulic modelling, including the absence of aggradation modeling, limited consideration of historical floodplain and flood-event information, and apparent discrepancies regarding flood flow estimates for Cyclone Bola and Cyclone Gabrielle. The submitter seeks greater understanding and transparency regarding the technical basis of the proposal. Woody debris and flood management: Concerns are raised that woody debris remaining in upstream catchments could increase future flood and bridge failure risks. The submitter believes this issue has not been adequately addressed and may exacerbate future flooding events. Compensation and affected-party status: The submitter questions why compensation and engagement are largely limited to properties directly affected by the stopbank footprint or design-event flooding, and why owners of newly flooded properties are considered only minority affected. Concerns are raised regarding future Public Works Act compensation processes and the treatment of property devaluation and increased flood exposure. Consultation and decision-making process: The submitter seeks clarification regarding the steering group, optioneering process, community representation, communications, and consultation undertaken by the Council. They consider local knowledge may not have been fully incorporated into project development. Equivalent flood protection: The submitter opposes the proposal on the basis that their property would not receive the same level of protection proposed for Te Karaka							
65	Support	Alex Walker	235 Lytton Road, Elgin	I support the Te Karaka stop bank to be put up and around our village. Our people need this to give them a sense of security. I am in support of Te Karaka stop banks I am from Te Karaka but reside in Gisborne and seeing all our people flooded with no stop banks in despair saddened all of us. This will help with their mental health and to know they will at least get away faster if there were another flood. The proposal may result in changes to flood behaviour in some areas outside the proposed flood protection scheme. This poses a risk to key infrastructure and livelihood of residences who use this daily. Oppose the design of the stop bank due to its impact on critical infrastructure being Rangitira and Kanakanaia bridges.	Please put in consideration the people of Te Karaka.	No	alexceemw10@gmail.com
66	Oppose	Ngawai Waimisley	1875 Kanakanaia Road, Te Karaka		Additional work included to ensure key route access is still available in flood events and that a full investigation is done into the impacts on the surrounding areas from the proposed changes to the stop bank especially the Kanakanaia Road and bridge access.	No	Ngawai_waimisley@hotmail.com
67	Oppose	Neta Worsley on behalf of Te Whānau Worsley, Te Whānau Mīner Worsley, Te Whānau Worsley Waitoa, Te Whānau Mohi Worsley, Ngā Whānau Ruru of Matawai Road / Takipō & Trustees of Ruangārehu N, L2, V, M2B Anu Whenua Trust	2367 Matawai Road, Te Karaka 4022	The submitter supports the objective of providing flood protection for the Te Karaka township and surrounding areas, including the construction of the stopbank. However, they oppose aspects of the proposal that they believe leave the Takipō area inadequately protected and disadvantaged. Specifically, they oppose: the exclusion of Takipō from equivalent flood protection due to funding constraints; the lack of benefits for Takipō despite it bearing flood impacts from the stopbank realignment; the increased flood flow and risk being redirected onto Takipō; the failure to recognise and protect the cultural significance of Takipō whenua; and the adverse impacts on the wellbeing, safety, whakapapa connections, and kaitiaki responsibilities of local whānau. They seek amendments that include future flood protection planning and equitable consideration for the Takipō/Ruangārehu area. The submitter states that while the application assesses adverse flood effects outside the protected area, the Takipō area was not assessed for flood protection because of funding limitations rather than a lack of need. They argue that financial considerations have been prioritised over community safety, wellbeing, and cultural values. The submission emphasises the deep whakapapa, ahikā, and kaitiakitanga connections of whānau to Takipō/Ruangārehu and concerns that changes to river flows and increased flood risk will damage the whenua, affect tangata whenua wellbeing, and compromise future generations' connection to the land. The submitter also highlights Takipō Marae's historic role as a refuge during flooding events, the cultural loss caused by its relocation following Cyclone Gabrielle, and a desire for the Takipō community to receive the same level of protection as Te Karaka. They further contend that the impacts of Cyclone Gabrielle were exacerbated by historical land use and river management decisions, not solely by extreme weather.	When reviewing the Revenue and Financing Policy to develop the 2027–2037 Long Term Plan (LTP), deepen determination of how activities are valued, who receives benefit, and who pays so these decisions are equitable. o Within the LTP further develop a flood protection management plan that includes the Takipō area - Ruangārehu, and plan for blending restoration of natural river processes and knowledge of the whenua, alongside engineering solutions.	No	netaworsley@gmail.com
68	Oppose	Nick Hill	333 Kanakanaia Road, Te Karaka	The effect the stopbank upgrade will have on the residents up the Kanakanaia Valley over the bridge.	Oppose	No	hill.nick@gmail.com
69	Support	Alexandria McLatchie	2 Humphreys Road, Waipaoa	I support the proposed stop bank upgrade because investing in stronger flood protection will help improve community resilience, provide greater certainty for residents and landowners, and reduce the social and economical impacts of future floods. I believe this project is an important step towards creating a safer and more resilient Te Karaka. I work at the local kura and saw the impact Cyclone Gabrielle had on the community	Approve the upgrade		
70	Support	Mohi Daniel Mete	33 loapa Road Te Karaka	I support the works to raise and move the stopbanks to better protect the township from flooding events. Our whare is directly affected by large flooding events, and if this improves the township's resilience that is hugely positive.	Approve the application	No	mohi.mete@gmail.com
71	Oppose	Denise Stephanie Kay Collier	108 Kanakanaia Road, Te Karaka	The stopbanks won't benefit or protect our property at all. We have no stopbank were made by the neighbour's section using soil from 90 Kanakanaia Road was owned by my late brother at the time paying in rates for stop banks don't have any this property is a holding pocket. My late parents have been paying rates since 1983 to improve from then to now 2025. GDC no stopbanks/footpaths, drains, town supply, pay to have our sewage cleaned.You want stopbanks in Te Karaka, we pay in our rates. Don't have floodbank protect at all. No street light by the bridge (verbatim).	Nil stated	No	Not provided
72	Support	Renae Savage	17 Walsh Street, Mangapapa, Gisborne	Support all parts of the raising and moving of the floodbanks/stopbanks. TK requires the changes to strengthen community safety in the event of another flood as per February 2023. Recent rain was touch and go even with the raised Hukanui.	Support, tauteko.	No	renaelindcosavage@gmail.com

73	Oppose	Jacqueline Hema	2431 Matawai Road, Te Karaka	The submitter opposes the proposed Gisborne District Council (Rivers and Land Drainage) Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade Project on the basis that the proposed stopbank alignment would adversely affect access across their property and compromise the safe operation of an existing horse trekking business arrangement. Key concerns raised include: Loss of access and operational impacts: The submitter owns a 2.3-hectare property which is used as part of the operating route for Riverview Treks & Pinelollow Riding School. The proposed stopbank alignment would directly affect an existing horse trekking route that traverses the property and provides access to hill country at the rear. The submitter states this activity forms part of the property's ongoing use and income stream through a lease arrangement with the riding school. Effects of stopbank design and fencing: The submitter considers that the configuration of the proposed stopbank, together with the property's natural contours, would impede access and safe passage for horse treks. Concerns are also raised that fencing associated with the stopbank would create a physical barrier across the existing route and further restrict movement. Health and safety effects: The submitter considers the proposed stopbank and fencing would create safety risks for riders and horses by introducing a fixed obstacle adjacent to, or across, the existing trekking route. The submitter states that there is limited opportunity to remove riders safely because of the surrounding terrain. Economic and land use effects: The submitter submits that the proposal would adversely affect an established and lawful rural activity, potentially impacting the viability of the lease arrangement with the riding school and the associated economic benefits derived from that use. Alternative design and mitigation: The submitter considers that alternative design solutions should be explored, including realignment of the stopbank, provision of a dedicated stock or horse-trekking crossing, or gated access through the stopbank fencing, to maintain safe and practical access while still achieving flood protection objectives	The application be declined. If consent is granted, the stopbank design near property TK26 be amended to avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects on the existing horse trekking route. The stopbank be realigned or reconfigured to preserve safe and practical access between the property and the hill country at the rear. A suitable rider-safe crossing, gate, or other access arrangement be provided through any stopbank fencing. Any other conditions necessary to avoid, remedy, or mitigate the adverse effects identified in the submission be imposed. Independent hearing commissioners be appointed to hear and decide the application.	Yes	jackiehema@iive.com	
74	Support	Michael Hewitt Haami	30 Iopaia Road Te Karaka	I support the application to build stop banks to help mitigate future flooding in the Te Karaka township. Following the flooding caused by Cyclone Gabrielle and the resulting damage to my property at 30 Iopaia Road, Te Karaka, I planned to build a garage, a carport, or both. However, when I approached AMI Gisborne, my current house insurer, to obtain insurance for these structures, my request was declined because my property is categorised as a Category 2 flood risk. An online application to Tower Insurance was also declined for the same reason. These decisions by both insurers raise concerns about the valuation and insurability of my property. Would the construction of the proposed stop banks help mitigate these concerns and improve the property's risk profile?	Advised do not wish to speak (27.8.26). Has had questions answered by insurers.	Yes	miche@haami12@gmail.com	
75	Oppose in part	Tim Rhodes obo Alan Haronga (Chair) Wi Pere Trust	Wi Pere Trust Blocks x 2 - (GS 2B/1216 and GS 2B/1225) aka TK18	Key concerns raised include: Effects on Wi Pere Trust land: The Trust owns land identified as TK18 which forms part of the original Trust estate and is held for the benefit of approximately 600 descendants of Wi Pere. The Trust states that the preferred stopbank option would require a new stopbank to be constructed across its land, permanently removing productive land from use and increasing the area exposed to flooding compared to the current situation. Increased flood risk: The submitter states that land protected by the existing stopbanks was not flooded during Cyclone Gabrielle and is not currently considered flood-prone. The proposal would remove the existing floodbank protection and expose a greater proportion of Trust land to flooding at lower river levels than at present. Ancestral Māori land considerations: The Trust submits that the application fails to properly recognise that the affected land is ancestral Māori land and does not adequately assess effects on that land, including the matters identified under section 6(e) of the RMA. Lack of mitigation and compensation certainty: The submitter considers the application provides no meaningful mitigation for adverse effects on Trust land and instead defers resolution to future Public Works Act processes. The Trust strongly opposes any compulsory acquisition of its land. Previous adverse flood management experiences: The Trust notes that other Trust-owned land at Tangihanga has experienced increased flood risk as a result of the Waipapa River Flood Protection Scheme, and submits that previous attempts to secure mitigation have been unsuccessful due to Council funding constraints. The Trust therefore has limited confidence that future adverse effects would be addressed. Alternative flood protection options: The Trust submits that the option of strengthening and raising the existing stopbanks appears to have been discounted without proper consideration. In the Trust's view, that option could achieve flood protection objectives while avoiding adverse effects on Trust land. Planning and legal concerns: The Trust considers the application incomplete and argues that part of the proposal involves a non-complying activity under the freshwater regulations. It contends that the applications should be assessed as non-complying rather than discretionary and that the adverse effects on Trust land are more than minor. The Trust also notes that the affected land is identified as Highly Productive Land (LUC 2) and submits this creates additional policy and statutory constraints	The applications be declined unless the adverse effects on Wi Pere Trust land are adequately addressed and mitigated. Greater consideration be given to strengthening and raising the existing stopbanks rather than constructing the preferred new alignment through Trust land. The effects on ancestral Māori land be properly recognised and assessed. The Trust's land not be subject to compulsory acquisition or permanent alienation. The application be assessed as a non-complying activity, and if assessed as discretionary, that it not be granted in its current form	Yes	tim@wi-pere.co.nz	
76	Oppose	Chris Coates on behalf of JRD and PA Coates Family Trust	48, 62/62a and 76 Whataututu Road, Puha, Te Karaka	The submitters support the purpose of the scheme and the objective of improving flood protection for Te Karaka township and its residents, but oppose the application in its current form due to concerns about increased flooding effects on their property, deficiencies in the flood modelling and assessment, and the absence of secured mitigation. Key concerns raised include: Increased flood risk to the farm: The submitters state that the proposal would increase flood levels across their farm, including former residential sites, farm infrastructure, and their earthmoving business premises at 48 Whataututu Road. They submit that flood risk is being permanently transferred onto their land without appropriate mitigation. Inaccurate and incomplete flood modelling: The submitters contend that the flood modelling underestimates the extent of flooding likely to occur on their property. They argue that the assessment does not accurately represent future flood risk and therefore cannot be relied upon to assess effects or determine compensation. Failure to account for aggradation: The submission notes that technical reports identify ongoing river aggradation, which may significantly increase future flood levels. The submitters are concerned this factor was excluded from the flood risk assessment, resulting in an underestimation of adverse effects. Failure to assess debris blockage scenarios: The submitters note that debris blockage of the Whataututu Road Bridge occurred during Cyclone Gabrielle, but similar blockage scenarios were not included in the flood modelling despite being a known risk. Incomplete assessment of buildings and structures: The submitters state that the occupied dwelling at 76 Whataututu Road was omitted from the consequential flood risk assessment, despite being the only occupied residence on the farm. They also argue that other farm buildings, infrastructure, yards, water systems and business assets have not been adequately assessed. Cumulative effects not considered: The submitters argue that the application fails to assess the cumulative impact of successive flood protection schemes on their property. They submit that flood risk has progressively increased since previous stopbank upgrades, and these cumulative effects should be evaluated. Mitigation and compensation uncertainty: The submitters consider the application relies on future mitigation and compensation processes, including potential Public Works Act arrangements, without specifying, securing, or agreeing mitigation measures with affected landowners. They submit that consent should not be granted until mitigation is clearly identified and agreed.	The application be declined in its current form because adverse effects have not been adequately assessed or mitigated. Further information be provided to accurately determine flood effects before any decision is made. Flood modelling be revised to include: river aggradation projections; future scheme performance and freeboard assessments; and debris blockage scenarios at Whataututu Road Bridge. All affected buildings, residences, farm infrastructure, and business assets on the property be reassessed. Mitigation measures for all properties subject to increased flood risk be identified, agreed, and secured before consent is granted. The Te Karaka Flood Evacuation Contingency Plan be updated to address flood risks in the Whataututu Road and Puha areas and reflect actual and future flood scenarios. The economic impacts on properties adversely affected by increased flood risk be fully assessed and taken into account	Yes	chris@coatesgroup.co.nz	
77	Support	1. Ie Atanga a Mahaki Trust (TAMT) Chief Executive/Lead Negotiator 2. Mahaki Tiaki Tangata A civil defence facility under TAMT on Wi Pere Trust land on Kanakaniia Road 3. Turanga Leasing Limited Managing Director - leaseholder for Crown properties on Rangitira and Iapa Roads 4. Ibulu Tarawhiti Butlmsart Limited Director	Various	The submitters strongly support the proposed Te Karaka Stopbank Upgrade and consider it an important project for protecting the Te Karaka community from future flooding. Key Points Raised The project will improve flood resilience and safety for residents, businesses, community facilities, and infrastructure in Te Karaka. The submitters note the severe impacts of past flooding events, particularly Cyclone Gabrielle, and believe improved flood protection is necessary for community wellbeing and recovery. They support ongoing collaboration between Council, iwi, community organisations, emergency management agencies, and affected landowners throughout implementation of the project. The submission emphasises that flood protection infrastructure should be complemented by continued investment in community preparedness, emergency management capability, and local resilience initiatives	The submitters seek that: The resource consent application be approved. The stopbank upgrade proceed as proposed. Continued partnership be maintained between Gisborne District Council, iwi, and community organisations during implementation and future emergency planning. The importance of both physical flood protection infrastructure and long-term community resilience initiatives be recognised	Yes	wili@teahy@iscolutions.co.nz	has been treated as one submission
78	Support	Renee Savage obo Te Karaka Area School Board	Te Karaka Area School - 5 Kanakaniia Road, Te Karaka	Support stop bank to be higher than the existing stopbanks	Previous recent rain pours have reached limits	not stated	office@tkas.school.nz	

Neutral	Powerco	Various	Powerco Ltd (formerly Firstlight Network Ltd) is the electricity lines company serving the Taiarāwhiti and Wairoa districts. The proposed stopbank upgrade work and associated road raising may require pole replacement work and realignment of sections of the electricity network in the Te Karaka area. We do acknowledge that the applicant's consultants have initiated engagement and are working with Powerco and our lead contractor to assess and prepare a proposal for the necessary works that may need to be undertaken to the electricity network. The consequential flooding assessment also identifies that the Puha substation may be affected in an over-design flood event.	Advice note: a) Any realignment of the electricity network or installation of new assets on private land may require easements for access to and maintenance of the electricity network assets. The applicant shall be responsible for all costs associated with proposed works to the electricity network and any associated easements. b) The applicant will be responsible for obtaining any resource consents associated with any necessary changes to the electricity network. c) The applicant will be responsible for any necessary hazard mitigation measures associated with any increased flooding risk of the Puha substation if deemed necessary. The submitters seek that: The application be declined. If consent is granted, the stopbank alignment be altered to avoid, remedy, or mitigate the adverse effects identified in the submission. Consideration be given to retaining and raising the existing stopbank alignment (Option 1), or alternatively adopting Option 3A. Haul roads, laydown areas, borrow pits, and associated construction activities be relocated or redesigned to reduce effects on the dwelling, farming activities, and productive land. Detailed culvert design be reviewed and approved prior to construction to ensure flood risks to the property are appropriately addressed. Independent hearing commissioners be appointed to hear and determine the application (and are happy for the Commissioner(s) to visit their property)	No	daniel.kingford@powerco.co.nz			
80	Oppose	Johanna King obo Kenneth and Karen McLanahan	29 Morrisons Road	The submitters acknowledge and support the wider objective of improving flood protection for Te Karaka, but oppose the application in its current form due to the significant adverse effects the proposed stopbank realignment would have on their property, dwelling, farming operation, and amenity values. Key concerns raised include: Effects on the farming operation: The submitters operate a long-established winter lamb grazing enterprise on highly productive land. They submit that the proposed stopbank realignment would place almost all of their productive grazing land on the river side of the stopbank, significantly increasing flood exposure and adversely affecting the viability of the farming business. Loss of productive land and stock management challenges: The property contains predominantly LUC Class 2 and LUC Class 3 soils, which the submitters note are highly productive. They state the proposal would expose most of the LUC 2 land to flooding, reduce the amount of higher ground available for stock refuge during flood events, and create animal welfare and operational difficulties during emergency situations. Existing farm infrastructure, including yards and a woolshed, would also become flood prone. Visual amenity impacts: The submitters have invested substantially in a bespoke two-storey family home designed to take advantage of open rural views across the farm. They state the proposed stopbank would be much closer to the dwelling than the existing stopbank and would significantly obstruct views, alter rural character, increase shading, and dominate the outlook from the home. Effects on farm surveillance and security: The submitters currently use the elevated position of their home to observe stock across the property. They are concerned that the new stopbank would block sightlines, reducing their ability to monitor stock and respond to issues such as stock losses caused by stray dogs. Borrow pit impacts: The submitters oppose the location of proposed borrow pits on higher-quality land, arguing that excavation would lower naturally elevated paddocks, affect soil quality and productivity, and reduce the value of productive farmland. They consider borrow pits should instead be located on less productive areas of the property. Construction effects from haul roads and laydown areas: Concerns are raised regarding haul roads passing close to the dwelling, creating noise, vibration, dust, and amenity effects. The submitters also oppose the proposed Morris Road laydown area, which would occupy approximately 3,000m ² of farmland and introduce increased traffic, equipment storage, staff facilities, material stockpiles, and potentially fuel storage close to their residence.	Yes	johanna.king@to.co.nz	submitted 4.28 pm. Any concerns		
81	Oppose	Johanna King obo Pine Hollow Family Trust	2424 Matawai Road (aka TC24)	The submitters oppose the application in its current form, submitting that the proposed stopbank alignment, associated road raising, borrow pits, haul roads, culverts and construction activities would have significant adverse effects on an established equestrian business, access arrangements, flood management, horse welfare, and public safety. Key concerns raised include: Effects on an established equestrian business: The property contains the consented Pinehollow Riding School and Riverview Treks, operating since 2016 and providing riding lessons, treks, holiday programmes, and activities for children, including neurodiverse children and people with disabilities. The submitter considers the proposal threatens the continued and safe operation of these established activities. Increased flood risk and loss of land: The submitter states the proposed stopbank alignment would place a greater area of the property on the river side of the stopbank, increasing the extent of flood-prone land and adversely affecting grazing and business operations. Access and State Highway 2 safety concerns: Concerns are raised regarding the proposed raising of State Highway 2 (Matawai Road), which the submitter believes will affect access to the property, reduce sight distances, and create difficulties for horse floats, disability transport vehicles, staff, visitors, and contractors. The submitter seeks additional traffic safety measures, including double yellow lines, reduced speed limits, and a flush median. Loss of access to the Waipaoa River: Access to the river is an important part of the horse trekking operation. The submitter is concerned that the stopbank works, borrow pits, haul roads, and construction activities would severely restrict or remove access to the river, affecting the viability of the trekking business. Stopbank ramps and property access: While a ramp is proposed on the river side of the stopbank, the submitter seeks a corresponding ramp on the farm side to maintain access to grazing areas and river access for horse trekking activities. Culvert and floodgate design concerns: The submitter considers existing stopbank culverts do not adequately drain floodwaters and believes the detailed design of new culverts and floodgates remains uncertain. Concerns are raised that the effects on flooding cannot yet be fully assessed, and larger culverts are sought to avoid drainage bottlenecks and ponding. Tree removal and shelterbelt loss: The submitter opposes the removal of mature shelterbelt vegetation which currently provides protection from wind. They are concerned	The application be declined. If consent is granted, the design and construction of the stopbank be amended to avoid, remedy, or mitigate the adverse effects identified in the submission. Access to the property and State Highway 2 be redesigned to improve safety, including consideration of reduced speeds, no-overtaking provisions, and turning facilities. Access to the Waipaoa River and grazing land be maintained, including provision of suitable ramps through the stopbank design. Detailed culvert and floodgate designs be reviewed and approved before construction proceeds. Removed shelterbelt vegetation be replaced on a like-for-like basis. Borrow pits and haul roads be relocated or redesigned to minimise impacts on business operations, horse welfare, and safety. Replacement water supply infrastructure be provided before existing infrastructure is affected by construction. Enhanced noise, vibration, and health and safety controls specific to equestrian activities be included in consent conditions. Independent hearing commissioners be appointed to hear and determine the application	Yes	johanna.king@to.co.nz	submitted 4.28 pm. Any concerns	Amended 3.9.26 to condition al support
82	Support	Erana Palmer	115 Whatatutu Rd, Te Karaka	Would definitely like the stop banks raised	Want Te Karaka whanau and homes to be protected from future flooding	not stated	erana_palmer@yahoo.co.nz		
83	Support	Megan Jackson-Stewart	32 Cliff Rd, Te Karaka	Would definitely like the stop banks raised	Want Te Karaka whanau and homes to be protected from future flooding	Not stated	meganjacksonstewart@gmail.com		
84	Support	Rawinia Ruru	6 Station Road, Te Karaka	Definitely would like to see our stopbanks raised to avoid future dmaage to our community	making sure that all the community can feel safe again from future flooding	Not stated	rurur26@gmail.com		
85	Support	Kerry Garrett	159 Stout Street, Manuapapa	Request the stopbank to be higher than existing	Last rains were at the limit	No	kerryg68@gmail.com		
86	Support	Pimia Wehi	20 Kipling Road, Te Karaka	I was 14 at the time of Cyclone Bola, the current stop banks were not fully completed hence a part of Te Karaka was heavily impacted by flooding. At 14th February 2023, I was in the village of Te Karaka (Cooksons Knoll). We physically saw all our streets turn to streams and rivers! These upgrades can only be a positive for our community during another event.	Not stated	No	mckaitk@gmail.com		
87	Support	Lyn Brown	213 Kaitara Road, Te Karaka	Support	Support	No		Not yet counted	