

Att: GISBORNE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Re: RESOURCE CONSENT SUPPORT

Dear Sir/Madam,

In supplement to our support of the application made by Eastland Port please see below comments: =

Under the current single berth operation, there are multiple bottlenecks, which cause delays to vessels, which ultimately increases the cost of freight while adversely affecting the environment by having marine vessels unnecessarily waiting to load cargo.

These bottlenecks include (but are not limited to):

- During adverse surge and weather conditions vessels are forced to wait outside Eastland Port. This results in
 additional waiting time and penalties for exporters. These costs affect the pricing dynamic for exported
 products from Gisborne, and ultimately drives inflation. Vessels could remain alongside and perform lashing if
 a separate berth was available, reducing the risk profile and therefore price pressures for vessels calling
 Eastland Port.
- 2. New Zealand Biofouling requirements (Hull) promote vessels to remain within New Zealand territorial waters for as short as possible but with port congestion this becomes infeasible; a second berth would remove the congestion.
- 3. Fumigation and debarking limitations results in exporters requiring the flexibility to load deck cargoes; having a lay-by berth where lashing could take place would provide additional flexibility to supply chains and promote more investment in the local Gisborne area.
- 4. Gisborne Port is currently restricted to vessels with a draft of <10m (depending on tide). Having flexibility in vessel size would allow exporters to ship larger volumes per shipment, and crucially allow the option of a single port and/or completion port loading operation. These improvements to economies of scale and operational efficiency would attract more export volume and facilitate growth in the local area

In 2021, Eastland Port had severe congestion, which caused vessels to wait up to 30-Days. This had multiple impacts on shipping logs from New Zealand.

- The demand for log fitted vessels exceeded the supply meanwhile during the same period up to 10 vessels
 remained idle at anchorage. This meant that vessels had to ballast into other New Zealand ports from further
 away which significantly increased the cost of exporting Logs and made Gisborne less attractive as an export
 base.
- 2. The New Zealand Ministry of Primary Industries (MPI) Craft Risk Management Standard (CRMS), which was implemented in November 2018, states that any vessel remaining in New Zealand for an aggregated period exceeding 20-Days is considered a "Long Stay" vessel and would need to meet long stay port criteria. During the period of high congestion hull cleaning would be required for every vessel calling Gisborne. New Zealand does not currently have the capacity to clean vessels of this size.

Sincerely,

Andy Wright Chartering Manager

TEL +64 9 916 1407

Level 9, BDO Tower, 19-21 Como Street, Takapuna 0622, Auckland, New Zealand

Submission on

Resource Consent Application

Form 13

Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.



П	
ف	7

A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible. All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person m	aking submission			
Name in full: Address:	Surname:		First Name(s)	
No.	Street/Road			Suburb
Town/City				Postcode
Mobile:			Other phone:	
Email:				
2. Submissi	on on			
Application No):			
Name of applic	cant:			
Type of resour	ce consent applied fo	r:		
Brief description	on of proposed activity	y :		
I support t	he application	I oppose the application	I am neutral to the application	n (neither support or oppose)
Clearly state w	which parts of the app	lication you support or oppos	se or wish to have amended:	
The reasons fo	or making my submiss	sion are (briefly describe the	reasons for your views, attach f	urther pages if necessary):

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ure of any conditions sought):
Please tick:	
I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission) Van Na
Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission	Yes No
3. Signature	
Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter	
	Date:
Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):	
Name and phone number (if different from previous page):	
Name and phone number (if different from previous page): Contact person:	
Contact person:	
Contact person: Mobile: Other phone:	