



Wainui Beach Management

Review Process Consultation

22 August 2012

DOCS_n266658



Purpose

Agree best process for involving all relevant perspectives on Wainui Beach Management Review:

- Review Process
- Communication



Agenda

1. Welcome
2. Apologies
3. Agenda and process for meeting
4. WBMS Review Background
5. Proposed approach to involve Stakeholders
6. Discussion and feedback on proposed approach
7. Communication
8. Wrap up



Meeting Process

2 presentations

Clarification opportunity after each

THEN

Review proposed process in small groups



Feedback & Recommendations




Beach Management Review

Overview

- Focus tonight is **process**
- For meaningful feedback on process need basic understanding of project





Why so many different projects

- Geographically cover different areas
- Diverse and large number of interests and needs
- Maintaining interest if scope broad
- Manageable project size
- Project management – proposed scope creep, effectiveness/efficiencies
- Resourcing – GDC (many other roles to perform as well) and expertise
- Differing time restraints – legislated and other related project timelines
- Changing legislation, policies, standards etc.

Purpose

Sustainability

To develop a sustainable strategy that identifies the preferred management of coastal hazards affecting Wainui Beach

Broader Context

We will be taking into consideration the wider economic, environmental, social, recreational and cultural context

Broad Acceptance

Our goal is to achieve a WBMS that has broad acceptance amongst the community because it will provide a framework for future development and decisions related to Wainui Beach

Proposed Project Scope – Overview

- Consider threat to homes from coastal erosion
- Protection of nationally recognised surf breaks
- Environmental concerns
- Beach access & future of dune protection works southern end of beach.
- We understand there are diverse interests in these matters amongst the Wainui/Okitu community.

Engagement with community will seek to balance these interests



Proposed Scope includes

- Review current WBMS Strategy
- Understand natural coastal processes
- Understand & consider divergent views
 - community & experts
- Identify & consider related legislation, national policies & strategies.
- Consider known hazard information & relevant planning controls
- Understanding existing & alternate engineering solutions



Out of scope -

The scope does not include

- Wainui Community Planning
(Wainui/Okitu Community Plan)
- Stormwater, wastewater and water reticulation
- Onsite wastewater disposal
- Volume and quality of discharge into Wainui & Hanamatua Streams
- Flood hazard overlays – Lloyd George Road



Wainui/Hanamatua Streams

- WBMS addressing coastal hazards
- Low/medium flows more a coastal concern
- Freshwater plan
- Sponge Bay concerns well understood



Wainui Stream



0 50 100 meters
6.7 cm on page at 1: 1500

 Land Data Services

Author: KNS

Scale: 1: 1500

LEGEND:

© Gisborne District Council 2015



Source Photographs: Gisborne District Council


Copyright Gisborne District Council

This document is the property of Gisborne District Council and is loaned to you for your use only. It is not to be reproduced or distributed without the written consent of Gisborne District Council.



Process to Date

- Project Team
- GDC summarised relevant documents
- Identified proposed approach to involve stakeholders
- Identified key stakeholders & asked if, & how much want to be involved



- Proposed process & potential stakeholder involvement starting point tonight


Questions of Clarification

Any questions of Kevin before we move to the proposed approach?



PROCESS END-POINT

WBMS RECOMMENDATIONS & DECISIONS



Proposed Process

Tonight



Feb 2013



Dec 2012



Council Process & Timeline

**April/May
2013**

Council Meeting

- Considers recommendations
- Council decision re amendments to WBMS



**May/June
2013**

Council

- Communicates Council decision to all stakeholders

Key Stakeholder Forum

KEY FUNCTIONS:

1. Review
2. Feedback
3. Conduit to stakeholder constituencies
4. Endorses/makes recommendations

FORUM MEMBERS NEED:

Commitment to fairness & transparency
Willingness to think together


Rep. each key stakeholder

Enables genuine voice


Key
Stakeholder
Forum

Chaired by GDC
Councillor Brian
Wilson

Meets @ key
milestones
About each 4 – 6
weeks



Working Group




Specialist Advisers

- Engineering - Richard Reinen-Hamill
- Coastal – Dr Amber Dunn
 - Presentation to KSF & WG at beginning of process
 - Ongoing advice & support
- GDC staff



Overview of Roles



Proposed Criteria

Key Stakeholder Forum

- Representative from ea. key stakeholder group (e.g. DOC)
- Continuity over length of project
- Attendance (80%)
- Self Selection

Working Group

5 – 7 Members

- Willingness to commit time
 - Availability
 - Length of Project
- Ability to bring a diversity of perspectives
- Length of Wainui Beach involvement
- Complementary mix

Working Group Membership

PROPOSED PERSPECTIVES


- GDC
- Beachfront residents
- Tuahine Cres. residents
- Wainui residents
(Non-beachfront)
- Ngati Oneone
- Wainui/Okitu Residents &
Ratepayers Assoc.
- Wainui Coast Care Group
- Previous WBMS committee
- Surfing community

PERSPECTIVES COVERED

Key Stakeholder EOI to date

- GDC
- Beachfront residents
- Tuahine Cres. residents
- Wainui residents
(Non-beachfront)
- Ngati Oneone
- Wainui/Okitu Residents &
Ratepayers Assoc.
- Wainui Coast Care Group
- Previous WBMS committee
- Surfing community

WBMS RECOMMENDATIONS & DECISIONS




Questions of Clarification

Any questions of Sheryl before we break into small groups to discuss GDC's proposed approach?




Where to From Here?



Next Steps?

WBMS Project Team will:

- Consider feedback
- Review draft approach
- Advise participants:
 - Final engagement process
 - KSF members
 - WG members
 - Communication plan



Small Group Discussion

- Split into small groups
- Brief Introductions
 - Name, organisation
- Check proposed approach understood
- Discussion:
 - Proposed process
 - Working Group membership
 - Key Stakeholder Forum
- Feedback to whole meeting



Questions

Proposed Process

- *Level of support? 1 (none) – 10 (strong)*
- *Improvements you recommend?*

Working group membership

- Key representatives covered?
- Gaps? Concerns?
- Recommendations?

Key Stakeholder Forum

- *Level of support? 1 (none) – 10 (strong)*
- *Recommendations?*



PROPOSED COMMUNICATION WITH STAKEHOLDERS

Key Stakeholders + Groups & individuals who register their interest

Key documents &
synopsis of these on
GDC website

Email Newsletter
updates
(hard copy as necessary)

Email detailed updates
at key points

Email draft WBMS
report &
recommendations

Email invite to public
meeting to present
Draft WBMS report &
recommendations

Other Stakeholders

Key documents &
synopsis of these on
GDC website

Copies of newsletter at
Wainui & Okitu stores &
school

Media release in assoc.
with each newsletter

Draft WBMS report &
recommendations on
GDC website

Public meeting to
present Draft WBMS
report &
recommendations well
advertised - media,
posters, letter box drop

PROPOSED STAKEHOLDER COMMUNICATION

Key Stakeholders + Those who register interest

**Key docs/synopsis on
website**

Email Newsletter updates

**Email detailed updates at
key points**

**Email draft WBMS report &
recs**

**Email invite to public
meeting re Draft WBMS
report & recs**

Other Stakeholders

**Key docs/synopsis on
website**

**Newsletter @ stores &
school**

**Media release in assoc.
with newsletter**

**Draft WBMS report & recs on
website**

**Public meeting re Draft
WBMS report & recs well
advertised**

Questions of Clarification


Any questions about proposed approach to communication before you return to small groups to discuss?



Questions

- *Level of support for proposed Communication Plan?*
1 (none) – 10 (strong)
- *Improvements you recommend?*

Where to From Here?



Next Steps?

WBMS Project Team will:

- Consider feedback
- Review draft approach
- Advise participants:
 - Final engagement process
 - KSF members
 - WG members
 - Communication plan

