

Waipaoa Catchment Planning Advisory Group – Hui 4

Date: 11 October 2023

Title of report: Recap and outputs from hui 3 - Values and draft environmental outcomes

Report no: **1**

Report authors: Lois Easton - Kereru Consultants; Sarah Thompson - Gisborne District Council

Purpose of this report

This report summarises the work completed at hui 3 where the Advisory Group (the Group) began discussions around a long-term vision for the catchment. The Group also looked at the proposed boundaries of the Freshwater Management Units (FMUs) and discussed possible environmental outcomes for some of the compulsory National Policy Statement – Freshwater Management (NPS-FM) values.

This report proposes some draft wording for environmental outcome statements for feedback.

Outcomes sought

- To update members on the work completed at the last hui.
- Provide an opportunity to give further feedback on the next step in the process drafting environmental outcome statements.

Table of contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Setting the scene - the National Objectives Framework	3
3	Key take aways from hui 3	3
	3.1 Draft FMUs and values – proposed changes based on feedback	3
	3.2 Draft environmental outcome statements	4
4	Developing further environmental outcome statements	6
5	Next steps	7
6	Homework for this hui	7
7	Appendix 1: Raw data and outputs of hui 3 group discussions	8
	7.1 Long-term vision for Waipaoa Catchment	8
	7.2 Freshwater Management Units (FMUs)	9
	7.3 Environmental outcomes1	0
	7.4 Environmental outcomes exercise – detailed notes from those who handed their	
	thoughts in1	2

1 Introduction

At hui 3 the Group split into smaller groups to workshop three exercises:

- **Exercise 1:** Brainstorming possible long-term visions.
- **Exercise 2:** Proposed Freshwater Management Units (FMUs) for the catchment (based on feedback from the earlier hui in August 2023).
- **Exercise 3:** National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (NPS-FM) compulsory values that apply to each FMU and discussed what some environmental outcomes might look like for these values.

Appendix 1 of this report contains the raw output of the results of the discussions and notes from individuals completed worksheets.

Some environmental outcomes have subsequently been compiled based on the work completed at hui 3. Not all values are discussed in this report, it is planned to workshop further values and outcomes at hui 4 in October.

2 Setting the scene- the National Objectives Framework

A central part of the NPS-FM is a process called the National Objectives Framework (NOF). We have divided the NOF process into two broad stages:



3 Key take aways from hui 3

3.1 Draft FMUs and values – proposed changes based on feedback

While most feedback was that four FMUs were appropriate, there was specific feedback on the following:

- Land use combined with sub-catchments is a reasonable basis for FMU identification.
- Ki uta ki tai it's important that the Waipaoa catchment is considered as a whole, even when talking about FMUs.

- Te Maungarongo o Te Kooti wetland should remain within the Tūranga Flats FMU. All of the catchment area is used for horticulture.
- Gisborne Urban FMU includes some horticultural areas in the upper Waikanae catchment around the racecourse, so irrigation and food production are values that needs to be included in that FMU.
- Hydroelectric power generation only occurs on one property so shouldn't be considered a value in the Waipaoa Hill Country FMU.
- Drinking water supply is a value for the Waipaoa Hill Country FMU.
- The name Tūranga Flats FMU (previously Poverty Bay Flats FMU) is supported as it acknowledges the original name of the area. We will refer to this FMU as the Tūranga Flats FMU from now on.
- Te Arai FMU should be renamed Te Arai Te Uru FMU as this is the correct name of the awa. As with the Tūranga Flats, we will refer to it as the Te Arai Te Uru FMU from now on.
- One group considered that the main horticultural areas in Te Arai Te Uru FMU should be included within the Tūranga Flats FMU.
- There was no clear direction on which FMU the aquifers should be included in. One group felt they are best to remain within the Tūranga Flats FMU and another thought they should be in a separate FMU.

3.2 Draft environmental outcome statements

Based on the feedback we received on the early development of a vision and the environmental outcomes at hui 3, as well as previous input from Rongowhakaata lwi Trust staff into the existing Te Arai FMU review, some potential environmental outcome statements were drafted. These are outlined in the tables below.

These are currently drafted as statements that apply to the whole Waipaoa Catchment Plan area.

NPS-FM compulsory values – all FMUs – draft environmental outcome statements		
Ecosystem health	The water quality, and river, stream and wetland flows support the naturally occurring range of native wildlife including tuaiwi - kore/invertebrates, rākau/plants, ika/fish and manu/birds. Key marker species such as kanae, kotare, koura, kākahi and tuna are abundant in their natural habitats.	
Threatened species	The populations of our threatened species increase in the rivers, streams and wetlands. Habitat improvements enable threatened species to expand their range.	
	Fish passage is uninterrupted so that threatened species can maintain all parts of their life cycle. Riparian areas are sufficient in width and in good health to support breeding populations.	
	The freshwaters remain a national stronghold for tuna.	
Mahinga kai	Mahinga kai and rongoa is accessible, safe to consume and is available for whānau and marae events all-year round, in the places where they historically occurred.	
Human contact	Swimming is safe and healthy and accessible during the October to April swimming season at identified swimming spots.	

Tūranga Flats specific - draft environmental outcome statements		
Irrigation and food production	The Tūranga Flats retain their high levels of food production supported by efficient use and reuse of water and water storage for irrigation.	
	Good practice management of runoff and nutrients means the freshwater impacts of food production from horticulture are reduced.	
Drinking water supply	The Waipaoa River provides an important part of a healthy drinking water supply for Gisborne City and communities across the Tūranga Flats.	
Natural form and	A high degree of flood protection is maintained within the FMU.	
character	Alongside this, the number and extent of wetlands and their connection to waterways is increased.	
Te Arai Te Uru FMU sp	pecific - draft environmental outcome statements	
Transport and tauranga waka	Traditional tauranga waka ¹ are identified and access to them is restored.	
Drinking water supply	Te Arai Te Uru remains an important source of drinking water for people in Manutuke, Gisborne City and the people of Rongowhakaata.	
Natural form and character	The natural form and character of Te Arai Te Uru is improved – targeted recovery work along the riparian margin naturalises the channel morphology, reduces streambank erosion and supports freshwater biodiversity.	
	The connection between Te Arai Te Uru awa and the old Te Arai Loop is improved, supporting its restoration and habitat values.	
Animal drinking water	Stock are able to access safe and healthy drinking water, while not impacting on the high-priority values of the river.	
Fishing	Te Arai Te Uru continues to support a kanae, inanga and tuna fishery. Fish stocks increase in abundance.	
Irrigation and food production	Priority is placed on irrigation to support food for local community use. Other irrigation and food production is supported where this does not impact on high-priority values of the FMU.	
Mauri	Mauri of the wai in Te Arai Te Uru is maintained or improved.	
Gisborne Urban FMU - draft environmental outcome statements		
Natural form and	A high degree of flood protection is maintained within the FMU.	
character	Alongside this the number and extent of wetlands and their connection to waterways is increased.	

¹ Landing places where waka/canoes were drawn up out of the water

4 Developing further environmental outcome statements

While we have made a start, there is more work to do on the environmental outcome statements. There are some values where we do not have a clear understanding of what might be appropriate environmental outcomes.

Draft environmental outcomes also need to be developed for the Waipaoa Hill Country, Tūranga Flats and Gisborne Urban FMUs for the following NPS-FM values:

Value	FMUs where environmental outcome statement needed
Natural form and character	Waipaoa Hill Country
Animal drinking water	Waipaoa Hill Country, Gisborne Urban, Tūranga Flats
Fishing	Waipaoa Hill Country, Gisborne Urban, Tūranga Flats
Drinking water supply	Waipaoa Hill Country
Transport and Tauranga waka	Gisborne Urban
Wai tapu	All FMUs
Mauri	Waipaoa Hill Country, Gisborne Urban, Tūranga Flats
Irrigation and food production	Gisborne Urban

In addition to the values above, members also need to develop environmental outcomes for the Waipaoa/Tairāwhiti specific values identified in hui 2:

Value identified	FMU identified in
Habitat restoration	Tūranga Flats
	Te Arai Te Uru
	Waipaoa Hill Country
	Gisborne Urban
Waka ama/rowing	Gisborne Urban
	Tūranga Flats
Recreation	Gisborne Urban
	Tūranga Flats
Ecosystem values	Shallow aquifers
Irrigation	Aquifers
Wetlands	Aquifers
	Gisborne Urban
	Tūranga Flats
Original stories/ Korero o mua	Gisborne Urban
	Te Arai Te Uru
	Tūranga Flats
	Waipaoa Hill Country
Horticulture	Te Arai Te Uru
	Deep Aquifers
	Tūranga Flats
Taniwha/Waahi tapu	Gisborne Urban
	Te Arai Te Uru
	Tūranga Flats
Aquifer recharge	Aquifers
Flood management/Sediment	Te Arai
control	Waipaoa Hill Country

5 Next steps

The Group will continue to further workshop all the environmental outcome statements and values at hui 4 in October. Report 2 on this agenda outlines the next step in the process which is determining target attribute states to meet the environmental outcomes.

6 Homework for this hui

Think about the draft environmental outcomes:

- Do these seem reasonable to you what changes might be needed?
- Does the state of water need improving to better provide for any environmental outcomes?
- Can the current state of water remain the same to provide for any environmental outcomes?
- What actions might be needed to provide for the environmental outcomes?

7 Appendix 1: Raw data and outputs of hui 3 group discussions

7.1 Long-term vision for Waipaoa Catchment

- Waiata Haerma a paoa like it was when Paoa settled here
- Mauri ora balance
- Aspirational, but yet achievable 30yrs, 100, 500, 1000yrs
- Plentiful, bountiful, provision for community
- Ballance in forest rivers are 'clean'
- Restoration of wetlands
 - Erosion managed, mitigated
 - o Environmental / taiao
- Water supply
- Drinking/potable
- Irrigation
- Te Tipuna status has a higher need/importance
- Pest control/protection of forests/fauna
- Vision in alignment of Te mana o te wai
- Practices align with TMOTW
- Need metrics to measure
- Decision making process has reversed, what does the river need first?
- Restoration of abundance/Sustainable
- 'Mountains to the sea'
- State that provides for all values
- Sustainable land & FW management
- Improved water quality sediment/contaminants
- Improved access to water capacity storage
- Discharges restrictions on inputs that can end up in receiving waters
- Bank stability/native buffers
- Filter to horticulture/agriculture
- Biodiversity
- Swimmable rivers
- Focus on sub catchments discharging most sediment
- Environmental values in place
- People fit into that environment
- Rectify/repair waterways
- Indicator species return
- Restoration of abundance
- Start at top treat the cause where its created
- Education + community engagement
- Shifting mindset in order to achieve goals
- People's health reflected in waterways
- Controlling invasive species
- Creating wildlife corridors
- Restoring natural ecological balance
- People collaborating with each other
- True partnership with trusting relationships to achieve this kaupapa for our environment
- Promote native vegetation in the habitats
- Wetland restoration project to improve water quality & provide nesting sites for bird species & forest conservation efforts to maintain & enhance the native cover
- Endangered species are moved out of the category as they're abundant
- Being able to drink out of rivers without getting sick
- Who pays?

- o Science
- Land retirement
- Pest control
- Being able to catch an eel straight after putting the line in
- Good quality groundwater for multiple uses
- Land use to match land capability
- Ample H2O quantity available for use
 - o Storage
 - o MAR
 - Not reliant on rivers
- Extension on "how"
 - Erosion control
 - o Wetlands
- Mahinga kai healthy
- Improve significant lakes (health)
- Revert to golden sand beaches
- Science based rules in the plan
- Staged approach to restoration
- Less silt (e.g. permanent native forest cover many places)
- Riparian planting (especially in hill country) = corridors
- Significant wetlands rehabilitated + NEW ONES
- Education for landowners on benefits of wetlands (incentives?)

7.2 Freshwater Management Units (FMUs)

- For mahinga kai, it would be important to identify where these sites are so farmers can do better to protect these sites. Same for wahi tapu sites too
- Most important is that farmers and tangata whenua have mutual respect with each other. Which is how it operates in Te Arai Te Uru, where tangata whenua can access private property with no problem
- In spirit of ki uta ki tai, debate on table around FMUs and catchments, especially Waipaoa catchment should be considered as a whole. Question around why we need large FMUs. Question on the scale or resolution used to look at these issues
- Wanted to see that we have a system of consistency in developing FMUs. So we first based on land-use, then on catchment
- Important to also understand ki uta ki tai, especially monitoring implications and what it means for FMUs and sub-catchments
- Four people agree on FMU boundaries
- General consensus of Tūranga Flat FMU as opposed to Poverty Bay Flats. Suggestion raised to start gradually changing the names of rivers back to their original names
- Ki uta ki tai & FMUs
- Understand the problem first
- FMU vs engagement
- Lower levels of NOF process
- Monitoring levels?
- TMOTW alignment
 - FMU effect
 - FMU speaking to each other
- Report at FMU or Sub-FMU?
 - What are the implications of more FMUs
 - Compliance monitoring
 - Related to FMUs
- Need to map by land use & manage that way
- Watersheds as a unit?
- What would it take to change?
- Values very similar in all 4 FMUs Lump into 1?

- Matawhero loop land-use more similar to Tūranga flats
- Should higher density housing be separate to Awapuni lagoon?
- Prefer the old boundaries
- Urban FMU needs irrigation as a value
- Be consistent with how we define FMUs land use vs catchment

7.3 Environmental outcomes

- Markers
- Kotare as a marker of stream health
- Kanae as indicator of Ecological health Whatatutu + Waikanae
- Ecosystem health? provides for other values
- Koura as indicator of stream health
- Restoration of waterways
- Landuse
- Farming + forestry practices don't affect water health
- Reducing land degradation, implementing for conservation measures
- Action landcare shallow land sliding GIS
- Identify vulnerable areas for management of erosion

Waipaoa Hill	 Drinking water supply – rural & hill country
Country FMU	Accessible
	Clarity
	• Safe
	 Tastes food (palatable)
	Smells good
	Spiritually safe
	 Sufficient volume during peak demand
	 Tapu a noa
	Sustainable practices
	Reliability
	Source protection
	 Diverse sources
	Cost effective sources
	 Efficient use – water is not wasted
	 Ecosystem Health
	 Increase biodiversity & ecosystem health
	Wajora
	Abundance of taonaa species thrivina
	 Increased & enhanced habitat in the water & on the land
	Water augntity
	Water quality
	Mauri ora
	Habitat protection
	 Habitat rehab
	 Abundance of mahinga kai species in water & on land
	 Access to mahinga kai sites
	 Practicing traditional baryest preservation & sustainable
	management
	 Preservation of sites of significance
	 Freservation of sites of significance Eacus on sustainable practices and cultivating techniques that
	 rocus on sustainable practices and contraining rechniques fillar prioritize the preservation a protection of biodiversity
	 Restore and enhance habitats that support the local food sources
	Kestore and enhance habitats that support the local tood sources

	Implementing sustainable farming practices - good quality water
	supports overall health
	Conservation of traditional foods
	Source J. D culturally significant
	Education a Community
	 Engagement - buy in – collaborative approaches
	"Regenerative"
	Ecosystem health
	 provides for other values
	Koura as indicator of stream health
	Markers
	 Kotare as a marker of stream health
	Kanae as indicator of Eco health - Whatatutu+ Waikanae
	Restoration of waterways
	• Landuse
	 Farming + forestry practices don't affect water health
	Reducing land degradation, implementing for conservation
	measures
	 Action -> Landcare shallow landsliding GIS
	 Identify vulnerable areas – management of erosion
	 Markets & industry need to be involved in treated WW discussion
	 Trust between council. iwi & water users. No more "use it or lose it"
Tūranaa Flats	Irrigation & Food production
FMU	Efficient irrigation process
	Efficient use & reuse of water sources
	Maintaining Te Mana o te Wai
	 Local consumption & circular economies
	 Sustainable practices for run off & nutrients
	Water auglity is suitable
	Efficient water use
	 Irrigation available year ground without negative effects on
	environment
	Rules that allow for innovation i.e. when are volumes of H2O
	needed. Tech. Al.
	Water storage
	Ecological niche
	Other water sources
	 Aquifers need to be separate FMUs?
	 What is considered "freshwater"? Should it be split?
	• Rivers
	o Aquifers
	Based on:
	o land use
	Pink area for Te Arai flats (like vellow)
Te Arai Te Uru	Water storage can double as habitat for native flora & fauna
FMU	Remove current "unintended consequences"
	Te Argi Te Uru is best place to start protection of threatened species
	 Te Argi/ Te Uru – ecological values are high in the headwaters
	 So it will be easier to raise the ecological & natural values of the rest
	of this catchment than in other catchments? i.e. a priority?

7.4 Environmental outcomes exercise – detailed notes from those who handed their thoughts in

NPSFM Value	Environmental Outcomes	
Waipaoa Hill Country FMU		
Ecosystem health	 Te Mana o Te Wai, people/communities need access to good quality water 	
Mahinga kai	Important for all FMUS	
Human contact		
Threatened species	 Inanga, tuna, weka, koura, freshwater mussels Allow fish passage Regenerate upper catchment where habitat remains 	
Natural form and character	 Sustainable communities surviving weather extremes Wetlands, land use retirement – i.e. more appropriate use 	
Fishing		
Hydroelectric power generation	Not a valueNot a valueNot practical	
Animal drinking water	 All properties that farm animals need access to good water. Only in specific places 	
Wai tapu	Need to identify silent sites	
Mauri	As kaitiaki we maintain the Mauri of the wai	
Te Arai FMU		
Ecosystem health	 Te Mana o Te Wai, people need access to good quality water Has been badly compromised. Hopefully the proposed clean up may restore but it will take time 	
Mahinga kai	 In the 1940-50 well known mahinga kai were the Arai, Whatatuna and Pipiwhakao Healthy ecosystesm give healthy mahinga kai 	
Human contact	 Lack of use and maintenance of riverbanks – now overgrown with poplars and willows, every weed imaginable 	
Threatened species	 Some natives are emerging! High ecological values in upper catchment so could be a good base to improve these values downstream – return for effort expended 	
Natural form and character	Sustainable communities surviving weather extremesThe clean up will make some changes?	
Fishing	 Very little to date, Selling of hinaki not happening. Kanae when it runs. Patikii still caught at north of Waipaoa 	

Drinking water supply	 All communities need access to good water All marae in Manutuke now connected to GDC supply. Also have 2-40 10000 tanks for this purpose
Animal drinking water	 All properties that farm animals need access to good water. N/A
Irrigation and food production	 Very important to feed the nation cost effectively We have no water permits, some still use bones Runoff and nutrients
Transport and Tauranga Waka	 No waka can go west of SH2 Arai bridge
Wai tapu	Many pa and culturally significant sites. Some on GDC silent file
Mauri	This will rest with the kaitiaki of the river
Tūranga Flats FMU	
Ecosystem health	 Te Mana o Te Wai, people need access to good quality water Its noted Te Arai has been compromised Good water quality to support healthy species Runoff and nutrients
Mahinga kai	
Human contact	 Stop banks used for walking and cycling – creating connectivity to smaller townships and from urban to rural environment Agree with swimming holes being safe to use during the season
Threatened species	
Irrigation and food production	 Very important to feed the nation cost effectively The rivers and aquifers are important for horticulture supporting many people through jobs and income. Access to irrigation supply should be increased through better use of our natural resources. Runoff and nutrients
Natural form and character	 Sustainable communities surviving weather extremes Stop banks serve as purpose build – similar to the levees on the Mississippi they contain the river most of the time
Drinking water supply	 The Waipaoa River provides an important part of the drinking water supply for Gisborne City and communities across the Tūranga Flats – agree
Animal drinking water	 All properties that farm animals need access to good water. NB Stock on floodbank properties will access the Waipaoa River water
Mauri	

Wai tapu		
Gisborne Urban		
Ecosystem health	 Te Mana o Te Wai, people need access to good quality water Needs ongoing overview and care. Fish species especially tuna re under threat Reduced runoff from agricultural, horticultural and residential land. Stormwater contaminants? 	
Mahinga kai	 Mussels, Waikanae awa is recovering, Waikanae the kanae are returning – mauri ok 	
Human contact	 The years that the banks were a dumping ground for Borough then GDC has had devastating effect. The mauri is slowly recovering 	
Threatened species	Tuna, inanga, kanae, manu. The weka has gone	
Natural form and character	Sustainable communities surviving weather extremesWetlands	
Irrigation and food production	 Very important to feed the nation cost effectively 	
Transport and Tauranga Waka		
Animal drinking water	All properties that farm animals need access to good water	
Mauri		
Wai tapu		